

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandran, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/519,989	01/04/2005	Hajime Ide	030673-191	3211	
21839 BUCHANAN, INGERSOLL & ROONEY PC POST OFFICE BOX 1404 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1404			EXAM	EXAMINER	
			ALI, MOHA	ALI, MOHAMMAD M	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3744		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			09/11/2008	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ADIPFDD@bipc.com

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/519 989 IDE, HAJIME Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit MOHAMMAD M. ALI 3744 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04 January 2005. 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-16 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on <u>04 January 2005</u> is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date 01/04/05

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

Attachment(s)

Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

6) Other:

Notice of Informal Patent Application

Application/Control Number: 10/519,989

Art Unit: 3744

Double Patenting

Claims 12, 13, 14, 15 and 16 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75 as being a substantial duplicate of claims 5, 8, 9, 10 and 11 repectively. When two claims in an application are duplicates or else are so close in content that they both cover the same thing, despite a slight difference in wording, it is proper after allowing one claim to object to the other as being a substantial duplicate of the allowed claim. See MPEP § 706.03(k).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 6-10 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Junichi et al., (JP 2000-346524 A) Junichi et al., disclose a wine storage apparatus (1) comprising a wine storage compartment (10/11/12) for storing wine, and a temperature control device (20/21/22) for controlling a temperature of the wine storage compartment. Junichi et al.,

Application/Control Number: 10/519,989

Art Unit: 3744

disclose the invention substantially as claimed as stated above including the control device repeatedly raises and lowers the temperature (this feature is inherent while controlling a temperature for fluctuation of temperature for raising the temperature a preset point and for lowering the temperature a preset point and this is inherently accompanied by a preset cycle of operation, a preset band that means a defined preset temperature range and its allowance of variation). However, on the other hand separate disclosing a preset cycle, a preset temperature band and a preset variation pattern is an obvious choice of an individual skilled in the art since same feature is inherently present in a temperature control system. Regarding claim 6, the control of temperature by increasing or lowering occurs in either of linear or curvilinear or stepwise. Regarding claim 7 Junichi also controls a predetermined humidity control with the help of water trays (70) evaporator (33) and controller (20/21/22). Regarding claim 8, the Applicant admits that it is commonly believed that wine is ideally stored at a constant temperature of 13 degree C to 14 degree C and a constant humidity of about 65% (see first Para of background). Regarding claim 9, the control system of Junichi et al., is capable of doing so. Regarding claim 10, claim 8 is being controlled at a constant temperature to have constant humidity of 65%. Regarding claim 11, Junichi et al., disclose separate chambers for white wine and red wine and aging wine in three different chambers at different specified temperatures. See Fig. 1 and the enclosed translation.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

Application/Control Number: 10/519,989 Page 4

Art Unit: 3744

Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.

Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.

3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.

 Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 4-5, 1213, 15 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Junichi et al., in view of Kawai et al., (US 6,705,098 B2). Junichi et al., disclose the invention substantially as claimed as stated above except a ban and a temperature control up to 25 degree C. Kawai et al., teach the control of temperature gradually changed by steps of predetermined amount/band of 0.5 degree C in every predetermined amount of time so as to gradually change from the previous control preset temperature (25 degree C. in this example) to the control preset temperature to be set (23 degree C. in this example at this time. See column 7, lines 6-11. Therefore, in view of the teachings of Kawai et al., it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the wine storage apparatus of Junichi et al., in view of Kawai et al., such that a temperature control band could be provided in order to control the temperature of the Junichi et al., with any desired temperature control band to obtain a predetermined temperature range 23 to 25 degree range. Regarding claim 5 having a temperature range of 22 degree C is obvious equivalent next temperature 23 degree C as taught by Kawai et al. as explained above. Regarding choosing a ban of 4 degree or 8 degree C, the teaching of Kawai et al., using a band of 0.5 degree C would be recognized by an ordinary skill of art and the individual skilled in the art would be able to consider any suitable band limit to conveniently implement the teaching of Kawai et al.

Art Unit: 3744

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MOHAMMAD M. ALI whose telephone number is (571)272-4806. The examiner can normally be reached on maxiflex.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cheryl J. Tyler can be reached on 571-272-4808. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Mohammad M Ali/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3744